



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/777,119

02/13/2004

Jonathan Hui

03630.000199.1

5009

5514

7590

03/17/2008

FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA
NEW YORK, NY 10112

EXAMINER

JUNG, DAVID YIUK

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2134

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/17/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/777,119	Applicant(s) HUI ET AL.	
	Examiner David Y. Jung	Art Unit 2134	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-29 and 67 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-29, 67 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____. | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____. |

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-29, 67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over SMIL and MHEG-5 (both cited in the previous Office Action).

Regarding claim 1, SMIL teaches “In an extensible markup language document stored on a computer-readable memory medium, wherein said document comprises plural elements used in developing and executing a multimedia presentation by a computer, an [] element tag which is readable by the computer from the memory medium and which defines an [], comprising:
a parameter indicating a type of [] to be captured by the computer, wherein one or more child elements are associated to said [] element tag, and wherein upon capture of the specified [] the one or more child elements are processed (section 1. Specification Approach, the first sentence which discusses XML and refers to terms defined in XML 1.0).” Note that XML refers to extensible markup language. Note also that XML uses element tags to handle data.

These passages of SMIL do not teach “event” in the sense of the claim.

IEEE teaches “event (section MHEG-5 overview, the first paragraph which discusses event, action”) for the motivation of having easier user control (section MHEG-5 overview, the third paragraph which discusses user control and navigation features).

Hence, it would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to combine teachings of SMIL and IEEE for the motivation noted in the previous paragraphs so as to teach the claimed invention.

Claims 1, 5, 8, 9, 10, 16, 21, 24, 29 are independent claims.

Claims 5, 8, 9, 10, 16, 29 deal with various attribute handlings. See IEEE, section Major MHEG-5 classes, the first paragraph, which discusses attributes in the context of events, actions, and objects.

Claims 21, 24 deal with various interpolate (indication of time and value changes over time). See SMIL, section 4.2 Synchronization Elements, which discusses indication of time and value changes over time. In particular, note the par element (parallel time handling) and the seq element (sequential time element).

Claims 2-4, 6-7, 11-15, 17-20, 22-23, 25-28 are dependent claims.

Claims 2-4 11-12, 15, 17-20, 22-23, 25-28 deal with various event handlings. See IEEE, section Major MHEG-5 classes, the first paragraph, which discusses attributes in the context of events, actions, and objects.

As noted in IEEE, MHEG-5 was especially created for such various event handlings because such features were particularly in demand at the time of the creation of MHEG-5.

Claims 6-7, 13-14 deal with various synchronization and time handlings. See SMIL, section 4.2 Synchronization Elements, which discusses indication of time and value changes over time. In particular, note the par element (parallel time handling) and the seq element (sequential time element).

As noted in SMIL, the SMIL specification (which is referred in the very title of this patent application) was specially created for such media handlings which necessarily involve such various synchronization and time handlings.

Regarding claim 67, this claim is computer-readable medium analog of claims 1-29. For the reasons noted in the rejections of claims 1-29, this claim 67 is not patentable.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(571) 273-3836 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Jung whose telephone number is (571) 272-3836 or Kambiz Zand whose telephone number is (571) 272-3811.

/David Jung/

Patent Examiner
4/30/08